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[Suggestions to the referee. Please do the following: 1) Answer the questions below; 2) State briefly your version of the contribution being made; and 3) Comment critically on the manuscript and indicate to the author/s any corrections which should be made and describe how the manuscript can be improved with revisions or rewriting].

1. (a) The scientific/technical contribution is:
   Poor □ Below Average □ Average □ Good □ Excellent □

   (b) The quality of the writing is:
   Poor □ Below Average □ Average □ Good □ Excellent □

   (c) Does the Introduction state the purpose of the manuscript?
   YES □ NO □

   (d) Is the significance of the manuscript explained relative to previous works?
   YES □ NO □

   (e) Is the manuscript well organized?
   YES □ NO □

   (f) Do you recommend publication?
   □ YES, with minor revisions at the discretion of the author and editor.
   □ YES, with revisions as discussed in the enclosed detailed comments.

   It must be published as a
   Review Paper □ Paper □ Note □ Letter □

   □ NO, the manuscript should be revised and reviewed again.
   □ NO, reject because of technical quality.
   □ NO, reject because of subject matter inappropriate for Latin American Applied Research.

(see reverse)
2. Briefly, the contribution of the manuscript appears to be the following:

3. Please, substantiate your responses with critical comments and indicate your suggestions for improving the manuscript (add as many extra sheets as you want).